

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,751	11/01/2000	Robert Klaus	114293-1601	9942
30734	7590 05/20/2004		EXAM	INER
BAKER + HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100			ST CYR, DANIEL	
	ECTICUT AVE. N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036-5304		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/702,751	KLAUS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
T. 00.00	Daniel St.Cyr	2876	BV.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will.	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	April 2004					
2 . ***	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the m	orite is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	CIII.3 13			
Disposition of Claims	*	*				
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.		-			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		**	re.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement	* **				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		and the office and the first				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) he hold in shower	oy tile Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be neid in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	cuon is required if the grawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
	Adminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. •				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	•				
		onlication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)		/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152))			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	051704			

Application/Control Number: 09/702,751

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/12/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 10, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arjomand, US Patent No. 5,884,202, cited by the applicant.

Arjomand discloses a modular wireless diagnostic test and information system comprising: a combined user interface and main control 12; a microprocessor (PC) for executing an operating system (windows base system) and a diagnostic application program; one internal non-volatile storage device, such as ROM, is inherently coupled to microprocessor and an external non-volatile memory (PCMCIA) card; the user interface configured for remote updating (through LAN connection) of at least one of a diagnostic application program and memory of the tool, wherein the said microprocessor, non-volatile storage device, and user interface are integrally connected to said diagnostic tool; the VROM software is stored in a memory (flash

Application/Control Number: 09/702,751

Art Unit: 2876

memory) attached to the user interface, the user interface includes wireless port for receiving portable media (see figures 1, 2; col. 5, line 26 to col. 6, line 31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 4-9, 11-20, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arjomand. The teachings of Arjomand have been discussed above.

Arjomand fails to disclose or fairly suggest all the specific method steps, such as displaying the upgrading procedure, and some other details of the claimed invention, such as having the remote terminal connected to the Internet. However, these specific details fall within the realm of engineering design choice for meeting customers' expectation and specification, failing to provide any unexpected results. Furthermore, these steps and details are well known in the art. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 2876

the system of Arjomand to perform similar functions as the claimed invention. Regarding the specific method steps, since the structural limitations are as recited, the method steps is obtained. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Arjomand.

Additional Remarks

7. The prior art still meets the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS May 17, 2004